

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,107	03/28/2001	Tien-Chen Hu	67,200-364	2312
7590 01/17/2002 TUNG & ASSOCIATES 838 W. Long Lake Road, Suite 120 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			EXAM	INER
			BERRY, WILLIE	
Diodimicia 11	5,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	- -
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			46
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	•	09/820,107	HU ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Willie Berry, Jr.	3723
eriod f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
THE - Extendible - If the - If NO - Fail - Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Pensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 Pensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 i	<u>March 2001</u> .	
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
isposit	ion of Claims		
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	n.	1.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
pplicat	ion Papers		
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	pted or b)☐ objected to by tl	he Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	- , , -	isapproved by the Examiner.
4.6\	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
, —	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		a Parka Ala
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		
* ;	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·	

			16
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_ :
	09/820,107	HU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Willie Berry, Jr.	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	-
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F		IONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (reply be timely filed	
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days		rty (30) days will be considered timely.	
If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO	NTHS from the mailing date of this communica	tion.
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the 			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>28 March 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice u			s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the appli	cation.) .	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Attachment(s)

6) Other:

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Application/Control Number: 09/820,107

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pant et al. in view of Crevasse et al.

Pant discloses a method and apparatus for a linear chemical mechanical polisher comprising a wafer carrier (17), a continuous belt (12), a motor means (column 3, lines 55-60), a support platen (25), a plurality of openings in concentric circles (not numbered but shown in figure 10), a pressure detector (column 5, lines 35-38), a flow regulator (column 5, lines 56-57), a process controller (column 5, lines 50-53), and a plurality of zones (column 6, lines 51-55).

Pant does not disclose a gas source.

Application/Control Number: 09/820,107

Art Unit: 3723

Crevasse disclose a gas source (58) in a polishing apparatus for the purpose of providing

air through apertures in a platen.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to have modified Pant et al. to include the gas source as taught by Crevasse et al. for

the purpose of providing air through apertures in a platen. The size of the apertures in the platen

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made, since it is within the general skill of the worker in the art to select size on the basis of its

suitability for the user's preference as a matter of obvious design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Willie Berry whose telephone number is (703) 308-7467.

Willie Berry, Jr.:wbj

Examiner Art Unit 3723

January 15, 2002